

1 Law Office of Andy Nguyen, PLLC  
2 1600 E. Pioneer Parkway, Suite 333  
3 Arlington, Texas 76010  
4 Telephone: (817) 704-3303  
5 Facsimile: (817) 704-3287

6 Of Counsel to:  
7 Michigan Consumer Credit Lawyers  
8 22142 West Nine Mile Road  
9 Southfield, MI 48033  
10 Telephone: (248) 353-2882  
11 Facsimile: (248) 353-4840

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
13 *Jamie Manning*

14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

16 Jamie Manning,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 Elevate Recoveries, L.L.C.,  
20 a Texas company,

21 Defendant.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

1 NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF, JAMIE MANNING, BY AND THROUGH  
2 COUNSEL, NATHAN J. SCHWARTZ, and for her Complaint against the Defendant,  
3  
4 pleads as follows:

5 **JURISDICTION**

- 6  
7 1. This court has jurisdiction under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act  
8 (“FDCPA”), 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§1331,1337.  
9

10 **VENUE**

- 11 2. The transactions and occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in the  
12 City of The Colony, Denton County, Texas.  
13  
14 3. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division.  
15

16 **PARTIES**

- 17 4. The Defendant to this lawsuit is Elevate Recoveries, L.L.C., which is a Texas  
18 company that maintains its registered agent in the City of Anna, in Collin  
19 County, Texas.  
20

21 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 22  
23 5. Defendant is attempting to collect a consumer type debts allegedly owed by  
24 Plaintiff to Hebron Emergency Physicians in the amount of \$1,011.00 (the  
25 “Debt”).  
26  
27  
28

- 1 6. On or about June 2, 2016, Ms. Manning received a phone call from Defendant's  
2 representative, who called himself Jason. Not once during this conversation did  
3 Jason state that he was a debt collector, in violation of the FDCPA. During this  
4 conversation, Jason threatened Ms. Manning by telling her that he would report  
5 the alleged Debt to her credit report every week until the Debt was paid.  
6  
7
- 8 7. This was the first time that Ms. Manning heard from Defendant and it was the  
9 first time that she ever learned that this alleged Debt existed.  
10
- 11 8. On or about June 14, 2016, Plaintiff's parents received a letter from Defendant  
12 which offered to settle the alleged Debt and stated that if she did not pay the  
13 account in 45 days, it could be reported to the credit bureaus. However, it  
14 further stated that the settlement offer and deadline for accepting it did not in  
15 any way affect her right to dispute the validity of the alleged Debt within 30  
16 days.  
17
- 18 9. The above letter is contrary to the representation made by Defendant's  
19 representative above when he told Ms. Manning that he would report the  
20 alleged Debt every week to the credit bureaus. That false representation  
21 overshadows her 30 days to dispute the alleged Debt. If he is going to  
22 immediately report the Debt, he is overshadowing the Plaintiff's right to the 30  
23 day-validation period, under the FDCPA.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 10. On or about June 14, 2016, Ms. Manning received another phone call from  
2 Defendant's representative who previously told her his name was Jason,  
3 however, in this phone call he said his name was "Nicholas." During this  
4 conversation, "Nicholas" told Ms. Manning that he was attempting to collect  
5 the alleged Debt in the amount of \$1,000.00 and that he would settle for  
6 \$808.00. This is contrary to the amount on the above letter that Defendant sent  
7 to Plaintiff's parents, which stated that it was attempting to collect \$1,011.00.  
8

9 11. On or about June 16, 2016, Ms. Manning received an email from Hebron  
10 Emergency, the creditor, which stated that it submitted the alleged Debt to her  
11 insurance carrier and that it has been placed on hold with collections for 60  
12 days.  
13

14  
15  
16 **COUNT I-VIOLATION OF**  
17  
18 **THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

19 12. Plaintiff reincorporates the preceding allegations by reference.

20 13. At all relevant times, Defendant, in the ordinary course of its business, regularly  
21 engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or  
22 entities.  
23

24 14. Plaintiff is a "consumer" for purposes of the FDCPA, and the account at issue in  
25 this case is a consumer debt.  
26  
27  
28

1 15. Defendant is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act  
2 ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).  
3

4 16. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated the  
5 following provisions of the FDCPA:

- 6 a. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2)(A) by misrepresenting the character, amount or  
7 legal status of any debt. Defendant did this when its representative called  
8 Ms. Manning stating that she owed a debt for \$1,000.00 which is  
9 contrary to the amount on the letter it sent to Ms. Manning's parents,  
10 which stated it was attempting to collect \$1,011.00.  
11  
12 b. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5) by threatening to take any action that cannot be  
13 legally taken. Defendant did this when its representative threatened to  
14 report the alleged Debt to Ms. Manning's credit report every week until  
15 the Debt was paid, overshadowing Ms. Manning's 30 day validation  
16 period to dispute the debt.  
17  
18 c. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10) by using false representations or deceptive means  
19 to collect a Debt. Defendant did this when its representative threatened to  
20 report the allege Debt to Ms. Manning's credit report every week until  
21 the debt was paid. Then Defendant sent Ms. Manning's parents a letter  
22 offering to settle the debt and that if she didn't pay within 45 days, it  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 could report the Debt to the credit bureaus. This is contrary to what the  
2 representative told Ms. Manning.

3  
4 d. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11) by failing to communicate that the communicating  
5 is from a debt collector. Defendant did this when its representative,  
6 Jason, did not state that he was a debt collector during his conversation  
7 with Ms. Manning.  
8

9 17. The Plaintiff has suffered economic, emotional, general, and statutory damages  
10 as a result of these violations of the FDCPA.  
11

12  
13 **WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS** that this court grant her a judgment  
14 against Defendant for actual damages, costs, interest, and attorneys' fees.  
15

16  
17  
18 **DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT RELIEF**

19 Accordingly, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant her the following relief against  
20 the Defendant:  
21

- 22 a. Actual damages;  
23 b. Statutory damages; and  
24 c. Statutory costs and attorneys' fees.  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by Jury.

DATED: December 12, 2016

Respectfully Submitted:

Law Office of Andy Nguyen, PLLC  
1600 E. Pioneer Parkway, Suite 333  
Arlington, Texas 76010

By: /s/ Nathan J. Schwartz  
Nathan J. Schwartz  
State Bar No. 24004032  
Andy Nguyen  
State Bar No. 24051113  
Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
Jamie Manning